1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	TAM TRAN,	CASE NO 3:22-cv-05827-BHS
9	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER
10	CLARK COUNTY COURT,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Fricke's Report and	
14	Recommendation ("R&R"), Dkt. 4, recommending that the court deny pro se Plaintiff	
15	Tam Tran's application to proceed in forma pauperis, Dkt. 1, and dismiss the matter	
16	without prejudice. Tran has not objected to the R&R.	
17	Judge Fricke concluded that Tran's claims are facially time-barred, as the DUI	
18	arrest of which he complains occurred more than 10 years ago, he has not named a	
19	"person" as a § 1983 or <i>Bivens</i> defendant, and he has otherwise failed to state a plausible	
20	claim.	
21	A district judge must determine de novo any part of a magistrate judge's proposed	
22	disposition to which a party has properly objected. The district judge may accept, reject,	

1	or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to	
2	the magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). A proper objection	
3	requires specific written objections to the findings and recommendations in the R&R.	
4	United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Objections	
5	to an R&R are not a vehicle to relitigate the same arguments carefully considered and	
6	rejected by the magistrate judge. See, e.g., Fix v. Hartford Life & Accident Ins. Co., CV	
7	16-41-M-DLC-JCL, 2017 WL 2721168, at *1 (D. Mont. June 23, 2017) (collecting	
8	cases).	
9	The Court agrees that Tran has failed to state a plausible claim. The R&R is	
10	ADOPTED and the matter is DISMISSED without prejudice.	
11	The Clerk shall enter a JUDGMENT and close the case.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated this 10th day of January, 2023.	
14	l l	
15	Dept \ Section	
16	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		